MEMBERS PRESENT: Richard Girouard, Chairman

John Doris John Lauria Andrew Lubin David Lucas

ALSO PRESENT: Stephen Savarese, PE, LS Town Engineer

Neil Lieberthal, Esq., Town of Trumbull Attorney

The Chair convened the meeting at 7:35 p.m. John Lauria led the Commission in the Pledge of Allegiance. John Doris read the public hearing notice.

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Commission of the Town of Trumbull will hold a Public Hearing on Tuesday, December 2, 2008, at 7:30 p.m. in the Trumbull Town Hall Courtroom, 5866 Main Street, Trumbull, CT, on the following applications:

Application 08-24 – Hidden Pond Development LLC, c/o David Wolkoff. Permit approval of an 8 lot subdivision with 917 linear feet of new roadway connected to Hidden Pond Lane; filling of 4 small wetland areas; construct 0.11+/-acres of wetlands in a regulated area on Booth Hill Road (continuation from November 10, 2008 Public Hearing).

Application 08-27 – Scott Molnar c/o Raymond Rizio. Permit approval to modify existing conservation easement to permit sloping of the property in a regulated area at 127 Aspen Lane (continuation from November 10, 2008 Public Hearing).

Application 08-35 – Krzystof Zera. Permit approval to construct dwelling and filling in a regulated area at 225 Booth Hill Road.

A copy of the applications and maps are on file for public inspection in the Town Engineer's Office, Town Hall, Trumbull, CT.

Dated at Trumbull, CT this 12th day of November, 2008.

Richard H. Girouard, Sr., Chairman-Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Commission of the Town of Trumbull

The Commission discussed and decided to take new business before old business.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Doris) to take new business before old business. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

### New Business:

08-37 – Paul Choate/Agent for Andrew and Keisa McPartland. Permit approval to replace existing 16'x12' deck and footings with a 20'x14' deck and footings in a regulated area at 19 Catherine Street. Paul Choate, general contractor, 86 Blueberry Lane, Shelton, Connecticut was present and submitted proposed plot plan. He indicated the new deck will be 2 feet larger towards the wetlands area but the footings will not be any closer. Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Lubin), to RECEIVE Application 08-37. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

08-38 - Don and Dorothea Bill. Permit approval to construct 14'x15' enclosed sunroom over existing 14'x15' deck in a regulated area at 89 Canoe Brook Road. The applicant was present and submitted photos for the record of the house, deck and proposed room. The two 10 inch foundation footings will be replaced with 20 inch footings. The impervious surface is not being increased because there is a concrete pad below the deck so no additional storm water management is needed.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Lucas), to RECEIVE Application 08-40. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

08-39 – Danny M. Corcia. Applicant requested a continuance to next month's agenda.

08-40 – Joseph A. Monaco/Agent for Mary Nikola and Robert Langhammer. The Commission skipped this application because the applicant was not present and will reopen new business when he arrives. Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Doris) to close new business and to be able to reopen new business for application 08-40 and to discuss 08-23 regulations later. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

The Chairman closed new business at 7:50 p.m.

### Public Hearing:

The Chairman opened the public hearing at 7:50 p.m.

Application 08-24 – Hidden Pond Development LLC, c/o David Wolkoff. Permit approval of an 8 lot subdivision with 918 linear feet of new roadway connected to Hidden Pond Lane; filling of 4 small wetland areas; construct 0.11+/- acres of wetlands in a regulated area on Booth Hill Road. Attorney Raymond Rizio was present for the applicant. Certificates of mailings were previously submitted. He stated the public should continue with their comments and then they will have their rebuttal and answer questions. He submitted information regarding the box culvert.

The Chairman asked if anyone from the public wished to speak.

Art Condron, 22 Shadowood Lane. His property is adjacent to the property and he is in support of the Pinewood Lake people. He said he and his neighbors were under the belief that protected wetlands could never be built on. He said the drainage pipe clears water from Shadowood Lane and from the other side of Route 108 and it is constantly flowing. He questioned how rain gardens and trees get monitored, how the private road will be maintained and how it will get plowed if the Town has no control over the road. He commented on the cul-de-sac and the proposed cut through and the two letters Attorney Rizio read into the record. He suggested they put a couple of homes at the end of Hidden Pond a couple at the end of Booth Hill and leave the wetlands undisturbed.

Don Watson, 54 Larkspur Drive – a member of the Conservation Commission but is speaking as a private citizen. He stated flooding and wetlands are interconnected – remove wetlands - increase flooding. There has been an increase in rain events resulting in more flooding in the flood zones and the Twin Brooks area. This is caused by building upstream because absorptive wetlands and other open spaces have been filled. He submitted a drawing of the site, described the water flow history and highlighted the regulated area. He stated wetlands and setbacks require protection if you want the wetland to serve its roll for public health, safety and environmental values. He thinks there is another future for the site with a development that will protect wetland and conservation values and he recommends the proposal be denied.

Karen Baker, 15 East Lake Road – lives on Pinewood Lake. Thanked the Commission on behalf the residents of Pinewood Lake and Twin Brooks for their work. The residents are concerned about the cost to the community caused by this development. They have concerns - flooding at the lake, Twinbrooks and basements - trees that will be taken

down now and in the future – increase in toxins in the lake. There could be an economic impact on residents caused by - increase use of the dam valve – more frequent dredging – increased nitrogen levels will cause more weed growth – the Lakes are the only vacation spot for some families - could loose FEMA funding – increase in taxes - and all this could result in their fees going up. She contacted the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) and she said she was told extreme weather in going to become more common and flooding is going to get worse in the coming years. She asked the Board to deny this and/or limit the houses to three or four.

Robert Sisson, 98 Old Dike Road. President of Pinewood Lake Association. He submitted a letter in addition to the letter he submitted at the November meeting. He addressed some of the concerns from the letters: - storm water discharge, flooding issues; pollutants, nutrients and sedimentation ending up in their lake affecting water quality and dredging. He also addressed specific issues he wants the Commission to take into consideration. He said Karen Baker took a petition around the lake and got 50 signatures.

William Pisani, 155 Pinewood Trail, down stream from the proposed development. This area is a natural retention basin and when it rains it fills up and the water is released gradually. He said the developer should have come in with a planned unit development (PUD) instead of a conventional 8 lot subdivision. He stated for each cubic yard of fill brought in 100 gallons of water is displaced and if 1000 cubic yards are brought in there would be 200,000 gallons of water. He described a retention basin with controlled release that he thinks is needed.

John Girard, 57 Old Saw Mill Road. Past president and member of Pinewood Lake Association. He stated this is not a good time to build more houses and developing on sensitive areas has irreversible consequences. He praised the Commission on its previous work and wants the Commission to continue to do what is right to protect the wetlands and maybe wait for a better development plan for the area.

Maryellen Lemay, 50 Turkey Meadow Road. Vice President of Trumbull Land Trust, former Town Council Representative for District 2, certified master wildlife conservationist for the DEP, on the Board of Directors of the Southwest Conservation District and completed the land use leadership alliance training. Her comments represent her opinion as a 17 year Trumbull resident and taxpayer. She submitted a letter highlighting her concerns. She stated it is critical that land use boards and commissions make every effort to protect the remaining wetlands from the impact of potentially inappropriate development. As a member of the Land Acquisition Committee she walked this property in the Spring 2004 because the Town was considering purchasing the property as open space. They thought the property was swamp land and concluded the significant wetlands prevented it from being a prime piece of property for development. They also had concerns regarding soil contaminations on the site.

She also discussed her concerns - building on this watershed and how the ecosystem would be affected – impacts from adding impervious surfaces to this watershed – increases in pollutant flows. She also wants the developer to obtain an army corps of engineer's permit.

Ms. Lemay stated the Town has done: Pequonnock River flood abatement analysis – had a DEP Commissioner walk the Pequonnock River Valley to assess the area for a dam – Southwest Conservation District look at flooding in Twin Brooks Park – formed a Conservation Commission – and opened discussion with FEMA to support the purchase and removal of specific homes in the Canoe Brook and Twin Brooks watersheds. She is also concerned about the destruction of significant natural vegetation in the flood plain. She thinks there should be a regulated clean up by the DEP after a phase 2 assessment, an environmental review team evaluation, wildlife inventory and a flood management plan. She believes the development plan will have a detrimental impact on the watershed and the Commission should limit the development to two or three homes and use low impact development techniques. She would like it to remain an untouched thriving protected ecosystem and the property remain intact.

John Sembrot, 108 West Lake Road. He had questions regarding the rain gardens on the site and he commented on the Town's stormwater management policy and he wanted to make sure the plan met the requirements of the policy. He

questioned whether or not the plan meets the requirements and design standards listed on page 3 of the Town's policy and stated the policy should be met by everyone.

John Russell, 73 North Stowe Place. Chairman of the Conservation Commission, bachelor's degree in chemistry, and master's degree in environmental science. He questioned whether the engineering study regarding the drainage and water flows included the impact from the Fracker property and Steve Savarese said no. He believes the retaining pond is inadequate and suggests further studies are needed. He also requested an army corps of engineer study be done. He stated Trumbull lost 15% of its wooded wetlands from 1985 to 2002 and in 2002 there were 135 acres of wooded wetlands and this site is over 20% of Trumbull's wooded wetlands.

He highlighted and read into the record the Conservation Commission's letter dated October 1, 2008.

Tom Whelan, 176 Pinewood Trail. Treasurer of Pinewood Lake Association. He is opposed to the plan with the eight lots and may not be opposed to it with fewer lots. He stated it costs between \$85,000 and \$100,000 to dredge the lake and they may have to dredge more often because of this project.

Commissioner John Doris read into the record a letter from Chief Shawn Rice, Nichols Fire Department dated November 30, 2008 regarding response time and other pertinent information.

Attorney Raymond Rizio representing Hidden Pond Development LLC. He said the property is not pristine and the Town did not want to buy it. He again commented on letters from neighbors he previously submitted. He stated there is 4,760 square feet of direct impact, 3100 square feet of that is permanent and 660 is temporary and they will recreate 7720 square feet of wetlands. He pointed out that the flooding issues occurring at Pinewood Lake are not from this site. They designed an extensive drainage system and water from the site will be treated and managed. He reiterated the following: the cul-de-sac was supposed to be temporary and the road was supposed to be tied in. He also stated most of the properties on Pinewood Lake are cleared all the way down to the lake, don't have infiltration systems, have no limits of disturbance and they don't have any safe guards like the ones put into this site which is a half mile away from the lake. He submitted a copy of his letter addressed to Ray Baldwin dated March 27, 2008 regarding the Conservation Commission and this application.

Dave Bjorklund, professional engineer, president of Spath-Bjorklund Associates in Monroe was present for the applicant. He submitted a revised layout for lot 3 with a boxed culvert and reduced length of the bio-swale and reduced impact of the lawn area. He also submitted photos of the crossing area and a watershed map of the area. He stated this property is not the primary watershed for Pinewood Lake. The primary watershed is the Booth Hill Brook watershed the Jog Hill area between Daniels Farm Road and Booth Hill Road. The Jog Hill watershed is double the size of the Booth Hill watershed.

He stated flooding is not new in Twin Brooks Park and was recognized by FEMA in the 70's and there has been flooding there since the 70's with a major flood occurring in the mid 1970's. The Town recently adapted a stormwater management program that reduces flows from any development. They incorporated into the site many low impact development features like rain gardens, bio-swales, under ground detention facilities for the roof drains and a detention pond to control peak flows in the 2 through the 100 year storm. In response to a comment regarding the army corps of engineers he explained it's only if you impact more than 5000 square feet of federally regulated wetlands. He discussed and submitted for the record '07 and '08 rain fall information measurement recordings.

As to comments from the Pinewood Lake Association and the Conservation Commission - they have incorporated the best and the most recent rainfall management techniques to manage the runoff so it will not be increased and this plan meets and conforms to the current FEMA standards.

This development is sensitive to the wetlands and the erosion control plan is phased development with detailed phase plans – Phase 1 = construction of the road, drainage and utilities; Phase 2 = development of lots 4, 5, and 6; Phase 3 = development of lots 7 and 8; Phase 4 = driveway construction and development for lot 3; Phase 5 = development of lots 1 and 2. Each phase will be stabilized before the start of the next.

Commissioner Lauria stated he would like to see a Phase 0 to remove all debris from the site before the start of anything.

Mr. Bjorklund addressed the workings of bio-swales as long term protection and how sediment will be retained. He summarized the site as it currently is and what the plan has to offer and stabilizing the area. Discussion took place regarding protecting the lake from further sedimentation from the area and not just from this site.

He also addressed some of the controls regarding the crossing over Strobel Road that increased the flow and eliminated the constriction under Booth Hill Road and this increased more runoff downstream.

Attorney Rizio stated the designed plan has limits of disturbance built in to give adequate protection within the regulated area with proper buffers, swales, and rain gardens.

Commissioner Lauria had pictures of the April 15, 2007 flooding to be entered into the record and it was decided that they were inadmissible.

Commissioner Lauria questioned the responsibility and maintenance of the dam or road – Dave Bjorklund said it is a dam and creates a wetland on the east side of the road and will not require maintenance and it will be open space that they are proposing to deed to the Town. Mr. Bjorklund was given a copy of Southwest conservation District's November 25, 2008 letter to review.

The Chairman called a recess at 10:00 p.m.

The meeting resumed at 10:16 p.m. and went back to new business.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Doris) to reopen new business. No Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

The Chairman reopened new business at 10:15 p.m.

08-40 – Joseph A. Monaco/Agent for Mary Nikola and Robert Langhammer. Permit approval to clean out drainage trench in a regulated area at 34 Seneca Drive and 37 Seneca Drive. Joseph Monaco, 160 Crosshill Drive, Monroe was present for the applicants. He explained that Mary Nikola has a water problem in her cellar at 34 Seneca Drive. There is a drainage channel that runs along the side of her house and it is full of sediment. The bottom of the channel is higher that her driveway and it floods. He submitted plans showing the area and what needs to be done and shows brush, undergrowth, dead trees that also need to be removed. The existing trench is about 175 feet. He will have the area identified where the trees and brush are marked for a site walk. Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Lucas) to RECEIVE Application 08-40. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Lucas) to close new business. No Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

The Chairman closed new business at 10:20 p.m.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Lucas) to return to Public Hearing. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

The Commission returned to the Public Hearing at 10:20 p.m.

David Bjorklund reviewed and went over specific issues from the Southwest Conservation District letter dated 11-25-08. He will look into comment 3) regarding TRM's (Turf Reinforcement Mats).

Commissioner Lauria again addressed the issue of maintenance of the dam/road area and Mr. Bjorklund stated he does not believe maintenance will be needed.

Dave Bjorklund and Attorney Rizio answered questions from the Commission.

The storm drains from Shadowood discharge into a wetland and then into a watercourse on the southern side of lot 2.

Steve Savarese asked if he could have the range gauge from Bridgeport made part of the record and Mr. Bjorklund said yes as long as it came from Sikorsky Rain Gauge. The alternate for lot 3 and the box culvert were highlighted by Mr. Bjorklund and he described the construction of the box culvert. Mr. Savarese directed questions to Mr. Bjorklund.

Megan Raymond, a soil scientist and wetland ecologist and senior ecologist at William Kenney Associates. She discussed compensatory wetlands, stabilization, and other points brought up at the last meeting. She noted there is space for wetland replacement and they have taken into account some suggestions regarding the rain gardens. She said there is about 13% total impervious on the property and with the calculations for treatment features makes it less than 10%

Rain gardens were discussed and maintenance was questioned - there will be physical barriers and demarcations on each lot - on lot 6 it can be minimized and changed and can be adopted as a condition of approval.

Matthew Popp, Town of Trumbull's consultant, landscape architect, professional wetland scientist, partner in Environmental Land Solutions. Restated his concerns: 1) lots 2 and 3 – disturbances adjacent to and within 15 to 20 feet of wetlands – lot 2: grading right up to wetland edge by flag 578 – lot 3: 2.6 acre lot with direct wetland impact – house could be moved further back and road can be realigned away from wetland; 2) Mitigation area – move it away from the flood plain maybe between driveways of lots 2 and 3; 3) Bio-swales – excessive should be reduced; 4) Would like to see lawn limit lines; 5) Lots 5 and 6 – line could be shifted to the west making 6 bigger and 5 smaller so house on lot 6 can be shifted away from the wetland corner and provide more room for the rain garden; 6) Impervious surface 10% is the goal to get and could use pervious areas to reduce it.

#### Steve Savarese directed questions to Mr. Popp:

- 1 Explain importance of the 100 foot review area? The area helps protect from sedimentation provides a lot of the same functions as wetlands traps sediments from the development site before going into wetlands, trap nutrients from lawn fertilizers, provide wildlife habitant, increase sunlight into the system when trees are removed main function is trapping the sediment and nutrients preserving the water quality.
- 2 Is it adherent to the functions of the wetland? Matt Yes.
- 3 In the Alternate is there any loss of wetland or upland review area that is irreplaceable and needs to be preserved? Matt avoid impact, minimize impact, mitigate is how he looks at plans he thinks lot 3 can be avoided and it is the only direct wetland impact for a lot and can be mitigated, but with more disturbance within 20/25 feet of the wetland. 4 Does a reasonable and prudent alternate exist? Matt there are alternates that exist that have less affects and it is reasonable to have six lots on this it's for the Commission to decide. There are two lots that could have a major

affect on the wetland system and lot 3 is probably the highest quality upland area on the site.

#### Megan Raymond – same questions

- 1 Buffer areas Agrees with Matt there is a function of the regulated area believes the purpose of the 100 foot regulated area is achieved with their low impact development solutions they implemented with bio-swales and rain gardens. She stated the condition of the property is very fragmented and has been ditched and filled and the majority of wooded area on the property is the wetlands and will remain intact.
- 2 Are any of the wetlands being permanently altered is any of it irreplaceable or in dire need of being preserved? Megan believes they have the ability to mitigate for the direct wetland disturbance on the property. They are accounting for temporary and permanent disturbances. The objectives of avoid, minimize, mitigate are achieved.

3 – Does a reasonable and prudent alternate exist? Megan – to create a subdivision on the property they are able to avoid the majority of direct wetland disturbances – and believes the project as proposed is least environmental damaging to achieve the desire and objective of the applicant.

Ray Rizio stated this is the reasonable prudent alternative to two other developments. He reiterated what the PRCZ requires and that it has upland protection. He also stated they would agree to put alternate compensatory wetlands on the other side and submitted for the record the plan showing the same. He requested the Commission grant this application.

08-27 – Scott Molnar c/o Raymond Rizio. Permit approval to modify existing conservation easement to permit sloping of the property in a regulated area at 127 Aspen Lane. Attorney Ray Rizio was present for the applicant. Certificates of mailings were previously submitted.

Bill Kenny, principal of William Kenny Associates LLC, certified professional wetland scientist and registered landscape architect. He summarized options and submitted his letter dated December 2, 2008 for the record. He discussed the history of the site and 3 options: 1st - Put it back to the way it was originally approved by ripping out all the material;  $2^{nd}$  – Find a way on site to remedy the intrusion into the easement and wetland areas with mitigation;  $3^{rd}$  – Establish a new conservation easement area.

Mr. Kenney stated in his opinion the best thing to do is not rip it out. Currently the site is partially stabilized and NOK's plan has a proposal to stabilize the slope with mitigation. There are stones there now and he suggests vines or ivy be planted. Attorney Rizio proposed that a fence or wall can be put in and planting plan be completed no later than May 3<sup>rd</sup> and agreed to put 4 bird houses in the conservation area.

Attorney Rizio requested the Commission grant the remediation plan in accordance with Mr. Kenny's recommendations and agrees as a condition of approval to post a bond and construct 4 bird houses within the conservation easement area.

Scott Molar purchased the lot from Jans Land Development LLC and Mrs. Czesnowski was present regarding the bond that was posted by them. Discussion took place regarding the original bond.

Motion made (Lubin) seconded (Doris) to continue Application 08-35 (Zera) to the January 6, 2009 meeting. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Motion made (Doris) seconded (Lucas) to close the public hearing. No discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY - all present voting.

The Chairman closed the Public Hearing at 12:10 a.m.

#### Work Session:

After discussion and review, the Commission took action as follows:

08-37 – Paul Choate/Agent for Andrew and Keisa McPartland. Permit approval to replace existing 16'x12' deck and footings with a 20'x14' deck and footings in a regulated at 19 Catherine Street.

Motion made (Doris) seconded (Lauria), to APPROVE Application 08-37, as submitted, subject to the General Conditions as established by the Commission. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

08-38 - Don and Dorothea Bill. Permit approval to construct 14'x15' enclosed sunroom over existing 14'x15' deck in a regulated area at 89 Canoe Brook Road.

Motion made (Lauria) seconded (Doris), to APPROVE Application 08-38, as submitted, subject to the General Conditions as established by the Commission. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

08-40 – Monaco/Agent for Nikola and Langhammer. The Commission will walk this site.

Application 08-23 – Town of Trumbull. Up-date Regulations. The application was not received by the Commission and no action was taken.

Motion made (Doris) seconded (Lucas) to postpone receiving application 08-23 (Town of Trumbull) to the next scheduled meeting. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Motion made (Lucas) seconded (Doris) to APPROVE meeting minutes dated November 10, 2008 and site walk minutes dated November 18, 2008. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

### Election of Officers:

Commissioner Lubin nominated Commissioner Girouard Chairman of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Hearing no other nominations.

Motion made (Lubin) seconded (Lauria) to elect Richard Girouard Chairman of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Commissioner Girouard nominated Commissioner Doris Vice-Chairman of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Hearing no other nominations.

Motion made (Girouard) seconded (Lucas) to elect John Doris Vice-Chairman of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Commissioner Girouard nominated Commissioner Lubin Secretary of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Hearing no other nominations.

Motion made (Girouard) seconded (Lucas) to elect Andrew Lubin Secretary of the Inland Wetland & Watercourses Commission. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

### Schedule of Meetings for 2008:

Motion made (Doris) seconded (Lucas) to approve the scheduled 1009 meeting dates as presented at this meeting. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Motion made (Lubin) seconded (Lucas) to consider the work session for the 2 public hearings (Hidden Pond Development LLC and Scott Molnar) held this evening until next regularly scheduled meeting of January 6, 2009. Discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

#### Field Inspection:

The field inspection date was scheduled for Wednesday, December 17, 2008 on the following application: Application: #08-40.

#### Correspondence:

No discussion on correspondence.

Motion made (Doris) seconded (Lucas) to adjourn at 12:25 a.m. No discussion. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY – all present voting.

Respectfully submitted,		
BY:		
Joyce Augustinsky, Clerk		